

Lightning Protection for Transmission Substations

Design Standard

DOCUMENT HIERARCHY

This document resides within the Planning component of Western Power’s Asset Management System (AMS).

DOCUMENT DATE

This document was last updated August 2025

IMPLEMENTATION DATE

This document came into service August 2025

DOCUMENT CONTROL

Record of endorsement and approval via Volt document control system

Western Power’s Engineering & Design Function is responsible for this document

CONTACT

Western Power welcomes your comments, questions, and feedback on this document, which can be emailed to standards.excellence@westernpower.com.au


DISCLAIMER

This document is published by Western Power for information purposes only. The user must make and rely on their own inquiries as to the quality, currency, accuracy, completeness, and fitness for purpose of any information contained in this document. Western Power does not give any warranty or make any representation concerning the information provided in this document. By using the information in this document, the user acknowledges that they are solely responsible for obtaining independent professional advice prior to commencing any project, activities, or other works. Western Power is not liable in any way for any loss, damage, liability, cost or claim of any kind whatsoever (including responsibility by reason of its negligence) arising from or in connection with the use of or reliance on the information contained in this document. Western Power reserves its rights to modify, supplement or cancel this document or any part thereof at any time and without notice to users.

COPYRIGHT

© Copyright 2025 Electricity Networks Corporation trading as Western Power. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means without the written permission of Western Power or unless permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth). Product or company names are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders

© Western Power
ABN 18540492861



Contents

Revision Details	3
1. Introduction	4
1.1 Background	4
1.2 Purpose and Scope.....	4
1.3 Acronyms	4
1.4 Definitions	5
1.5 References	5
2. Compliance	5
3. Safety in Design.....	6
4. Design Methods	6
4.1 Electro Geometric Model (EGM).....	6
4.1.1 Application of EGM – Rolling Sphere	7
4.1.2 Lightning Damage Probability	7
4.1.3 Modelling.....	8
5. Lightning Design Criteria	8
5.1 Tolerable Risk.....	8
5.2 Standard Design	9
5.3 Greenfield Sites.....	9
5.3.1 Zone Substations	9
5.3.2 Terminal Switchyard	10
5.4 Brownfield Sites	11
6. Installation Requirements.....	12
6.1 Lightning Masts.....	12
6.2 Overhead earth wires	13

Revision Details

Version	Date		Summary of change
1	August 2025		First VOLT issue Modelling requirement added and Standard Online Update

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

The purpose of this Engineering Design Instruction (EDI) is to assist with understanding lightning protection design principles and provide a clear understanding of lightning stroke formation, specifically within transmission substations.

Zone substations are typically shielded by lightning masts and terminal switchyard substations are shielded with overhead earth wires or a combination of both. Any tall, earthed structures such as floodlight masts or microwave towers, however, can also contribute to the shielding of a substation.

The design criterion is based on the rolling sphere methodology using a strike radius of 24 meters. That is, an assumed charged sphere with a 24m radius shall meet either lightning masts, overhead earth wires or any earthed object prior to getting low enough to come into contact with any substation conductors or equipment.

1.2 Purpose and Scope

This Engineering Design Instruction sets out the lightning protection requirements for Transmission Zone and Terminal Substations, on both Greenfield and Brownfield sites.

Lightning protection of substations is provided to minimise danger to people, damage to expensive primary plant, thereby providing reliability of supply.

There are two aspects to lightning protection in substations:

- Shielding the area by providing routes for the lightning stroke to travel to earth and
- Providing a safe route for any induced overvoltage or overvoltage resulting from a direct strike on overhead lines entering the substation

This design instruction does not include methods to remove voltage surges on overhead lines entering the substation; this is covered by the 'Network Standard - Insulation Coordination'. This standard sets out the relevant methods used to minimise the risk of substation equipment being damaged by a direct lightning strike.

1.3 Acronyms

Acronym	Definition

1.4 Definitions

Term	Definition
Stepped Leader	Static discharge that propagates from a cloud into the air.
Striking distance	The length of the final jump of the stepped leader as its potential exceeds the breakdown resistance of this last gap; found to be related to the amplitude of the first return stroke.
Basic Insulation Level (BIL)	Developed method to coordinate insulation levels in the substation and lines. Assurance that the breakdown or flashover strength of all substation equipment will be equal or exceeded.
Standard lightning impulse withstand level	Levels determined through equipment voltage surge withstand testing.
Keraunic Level	The average number of thunderstorm days for an area. The average number of days per year on which thunder will be heard during a 24-hour period. (T)
Ground flash density	The average number of strokes per units of time at a location. Roughly proportional to the keraunic level (0.1 T to 0.19 T)
Greenfield	A site that has not previously been developed to contain a new substation.
Brownfield	A site that already contains a substation and some substation equipment. Projects at these sites are mostly extensions or refurbishment of selected equipment.

1.5 References

References which support implementation of this document

Table 1.1 References

Reference No.	Title

2. Compliance

The Engineering Design Instruction should encompass all requirements of the relevant Australian Standards which are current at the time. A period will be set when the standard needs to be reviewed. If significant changes occur on an Australian Standard that affects safety, an out of cycle review can be completed.

The Engineering Design Instruction must comply with higher level Western Power technical documents such as relevant Network Standards. The relevant Network Standards and other relevant documentation are listed in Table 3.1.

Table 2-1: Relevant Documentation

	Document Title
	Network Standard – Insulation Coordination

The relevant Australian Standards are listed below in the table below.

Table 2-2: Australian and International Standards

Standard Number	Standard Title
AS1768:2007	Lightning Protection
IEEE998-2012	IEEE Guide for Direct Lightning Stroke Shielding of Substations

Compliance to this Engineering Design Instruction is necessary to provide a safe working environment within a substation. All lightning protection requirements must be implemented where applicable to ensure full compliance.

3. Safety in Design

Safety in Design (SID) must be considered when completing all substation design work. SID focuses on making the design safer and easier to understand, with the aim to eliminate and mitigate potential hazards during the design phase of a project.

Some examples of Safety in Design in lightning design include:

- Will the lightning mast be used for lighting? If so, have safety and maintenance access clearance been considered?
- Will the lightning mast location impede access to other equipment in the yard?

Any identified hazards shall be documented in the Hazard Management Register (HMR) and shall be eliminated, or have risks reduced to ALARP. The HMR and relevant design drawings shall undertake a construction, operation and maintenance (C.O.M) review at relevant stages of the project.

4. Design Methods

The Electro Geometric model (EGM) shall be used for lightning protection design. This is achieved via the rolling sphere method which can be proven by means of 3D modelling of the substation. The previous empirical method for lightning protection is no longer used.

4.1 Electro Geometric Model (EGM)

Electro geometric modelling is a valid approach for the design of lightning protection systems. A modified version of electro geometric modelling is known as the rolling sphere method by which:

- 1) The strike is assumed to arrive in a vertical direction;
- 2) Different striking distances are taken into consideration; and
- 3) The model is not tied to a specific form of striking distance equations.

In electro geometric modelling, the protective area of a lightning mast or earthing wire depends on the strike current for which protection is needed. This means that if a mast is installed to protect equipment against a strike current of I_s , the equipment might not be protected against strike currents of less than I_s , because of shorter strike distances. This concept has been further discussed in Appendix A.

The lightning protection system is designed to intercept lightning strikes that can cause flashover of insulation and thus damage to equipment. This involves the calculation of the smallest allowable strike current and the strike distance for this lightning strike.

Allowable strike current is calculated using the equation of Gilman and Whitehead, which considers the basic insulation level (BIL) for the substation and the surge impedance of the station. The calculations can be found in IEEE998.

4.1.1 Application of EGM – Rolling Sphere

With application of the rolling sphere method of EGM, the aim is to provide protection to equipment for a specified lightning stroke current, I_s . The lightning stroke current being the current that would cause a flashover across insulation. Western Power uses 3D software to model the rolling sphere.

The method involves rolling an imaginary sphere over the surface of a substation. The sphere rolls over grounded metallic objects such as masts and fences that would provide lightning shielding. Equipment is seen as being inside the protected zone if the sphere rolls over without touching it, as seen in Figure 5-1.

For further details on the theory of the EGM refer to Appendix A.




Figure 4-1: Principle of the rolling sphere

4.1.2 Lightning Damage Probability

When the minimum strike current is used to determine the sphere size used for the rolling sphere EGM, the substation and its equipment should theoretically be protected against direct lightning strikes. If larger sphere sizes are used for the design of the lightning protection systems, the following method is recommended to determine the risk and probability of failure due to lightning:

- 1) Determine the required strike distance (S);
- 2) Determine the alternative bigger strike distance (S_1) i.e. the size of the rolling sphere being considered;

- 3) Calculate the probability of a lightning strike current bigger than S but less than S1;
- 4) Calculate the area not protected by the S1;
- 5) Using the ground flash density for the substation area, determine the strokes per year expected on the substation area; and
- 6) The product of items 3) and 5) will provide the calculated failures per year for the lightning protection design.

The value received from 6) will need to be evaluated for each design where standard values cannot be applied. However, it is anticipated that the standard rolling sphere can be applied in most cases. Section 6 of this document provides guidance on when the standard rolling sphere can be applied.

4.1.3 Modelling

The number and height of the masts required in substation shall be determined by utilizing the appropriate lightning software.

At Western Power, the standard lightning software “CDEGS (SESShield-3D)” is used to model and assess the lightning requirements of a substation. This software has the capability to do 3D modelling of the substation equipment. Software other than SESShield-3D must be approved for use by the Substation Design Area within Western Power before the lightning design is undertaken.

All lightning studies in native format are to be saved in VOLT and referenced in the Lightning Design Report.

5. Lightning Design Criteria

5.1 Tolerable Risk

Lightning design strategies have been developed for greenfield and brownfield sites. This section shows how the standard design may be applied to both greenfield and brownfield sites, and result in a level of tolerable risk of failure. Guidance on the level of tolerable risk can be found in AS1768 and is shown below in Table 6.1.

Table 5-1: Typical values of tolerable risk

Type of Risk	Tolerable Risk per Year (R_a)
Loss of human life	10^{-5}
Loss of service to the public	10^{-3}
Loss of cultural heritage	10^{-4}

For a lightning strike on a transmission substation, whilst it may not result in direct loss of human life, the loss of service to the public is considerable and greater than what is considered in AS1768. As such a conservative failure rate of one failure every 10,000 years is applicable for transmission substations. The risk of equipment failure for a substation lightning protection system shall not exceed 1×10^{-4} .

5.2 Standard Design

AS1768 defines four levels of standard levels of lightning protection which are shown in Table 6.2.

Table 5-2: AS1768 Lightning Protection Levels

Protection Level	Sphere Radius (m)		Interception Current kA
	MetersHV Plant	Flat surface	
I	20	60	2.9
II	30	60	5.4
III	45	90	10.1
IV	60	120	15.7

Western Power has historically used a rolling sphere of radius 24m for lightning protection, which falls between level I and level II protection as defined in AS1768. A 24m radius sphere provides interception for stroke currents above 4.1kA. This design instruction demonstrates the suitability of this chosen sphere radius and recommends its use for standard design.

For lightning protection studies of relay and switch rooms, the rolling sphere method can be used with increased radius of 60 meters.

5.3 Greenfield Sites

A risk calculation is performed for both standard terminal and zone substation designs, for all voltage levels and the expected failure rates using the standard 24m sphere are presented below.

Standard designs considered are based on standard layouts for zone and terminal substation yards.

5.3.1 Zone Substations

The risk calculation input parameters for the standard zone substation design are as follows:

Table 5-3: Zone Substation risk calculation input parameters

Voltage Level	Maximum conductor height	Conductor Radius (of highest conductor)	BIL	Exposed Area	Ground Flash Density
132kV	6m	50mm	650kV	5,400m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
22kV	4m	5mm	125kV	230m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year

Note: A ground flash density of 5 flashes/km²/year is used as this is the highest level possible for the area covered by the South West Interconnected System (SWIS) and is used for all substations in the SWIS.

The results from the risk calculation for the standard zone substation design, using the standard rolling sphere radius of 24m with strike current of 4.1kA are as follows:

Table 5-4: Zone Substation risk calculation results

Voltage Level	Strike Current	Strike Distance	Probability of stroke less than 4.1kA but greater than strike	Expected Failures per year	Expected years between failures
132kV	4.6kA	25.9m	0% (-0.18%)	0	No failures 0.18% Overlap
22kV (Capacitor Banks)	0.7kA	7.4m	0.51%	5.32×10^{-6}	188,036

The standard sphere completely protects the 132kV switchyard of the standard zone substation design and adequately protects the 22kV capacitor banks with an acceptable level of probability of failure.

5.3.2 Terminal Switchyard

The risk calculation input parameters for the standard terminal switchyard design are as follows:

Table 5-5: Terminal Switchyard risk calculation input parameters

Voltage Level	Maximum conductor height	Conductor Radius (of highest conductor)	BIL	Exposed Area	Ground Flash Density
330kV	19m	16mm (twin @ 380mm centres)	1175kV	32,000m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
132kV	12m	13mm (twin @ 380mm centres)	650kV	14,000 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year

Note: A ground flash density of 5 flashes/km²/year is used as this is the highest level possible for the area covered by the South West Interconnected System (SWIS) and is used for all substations in the SWIS.

The results from the risk calculation for the standard terminal switchyard design, using the standard rolling sphere radius of 24m with strike current of 4.1kA are as follows:

Table 5-6: Terminal Switchyard risk calculation results

Voltage Level	Strike Current	Strike Distance	Probability of stroke less than 4.1kA but greater than strike current	Expected Failures per year	Expected years between failures
330kV	6.5kA	32.5m	0% (-1.2%)	0	No failures 1.2% Overlap
132kV	3.6kA	22.1m	0.15%	1.55×10^{-5}	64,341

The standard sphere completely protects the 330kV switchyard of the terminal design, and adequately protects the 132kV switchyard with an acceptable level of probability of failure.

5.4 Brownfield Sites

This section evaluates the lightning performance of the standard 24m sphere on worse case parameters that may be encountered at brownfields sites.

When performing works at a brownfields site, the standard 24m sphere design can be applied without the need for a new risk calculation if the following conditions are met:

- BIL equal or higher than the value shown in Table 6.7.
- Highest conductors at or lower than the height shown in Table 6.7.
- Highest conductor radius equal to or larger than that shown in Table 6.7.
- Equipment area equal to or less than that shown in Table 6.7.

Table 5-7: Brownfields substation worse case lightning risk parameters

Voltage Level	Maximum conductor height	Conductor Radius (of highest conductor)	BIL	Exposed Area (see note)	Ground Flash Density
330kV	20m	10mm	1050kV	45,000 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
220kV	16m	8mm	850kV	25,000 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
132kV	12m	8mm	550kV	40,000 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
66kV	8m	5mm	325kV	8,500 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
33kV	7m	5mm	170kV	2,000 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year
22kV	5m	5mm	125kV	1,500 m ²	5 flashes/km ² /year

Note: Exposed areas are expected worse case scenarios and are based on ultimate development at the following brownfield sites; 330kV – Southern Terminal, 220kV – West Kalgoorlie Terminal, 132kV – Southern Terminal, 66kV – Cannington Terminal, 33kV – Geraldton, 22kV – Canningvale.

The results from the risk calculation using the standard rolling sphere radius of 24m with strike current of 4.1kA are as follows:

Table 5-8: Brownfields substation risk calculation results

Voltage Level	Strike Current	Strike Distance	Probability of stroke less than 4.1kA but greater than strike current	Expected Failures per year	Expected years between failures
330kV	5.6kA	29.2m	0% (-0.61%)	0	No failures 0.61% Overlap

Voltage Level	Strike Current	Strike Distance	Probability of stroke less than 4.1kA but greater than strike current	Expected Failures per year	Expected years between failures
220kV	4.5kA	25.5m	0% (-0.14%)	0	No failures 0.14% Overlap
132kV	2.9kA	19.3m	0.30%	2.14×10^{-4}	4,674 ^{see below}
66kV	1.7kA	13.5m	0.46%	1.34×10^{-4}	7,464 ^{see below}
33kV	0.9kA	8.7m	0.51%	4.40×10^{-5}	22,705
22kV	0.6kA	7.2m	0.51%	3.49×10^{-5}	28,690

The above table shows that the standard rolling sphere of 24m will adequately protect the worse case scenarios which could be encountered at brownfields substations at all voltage levels except for 132kV and 66kV.

For 132kV substations, the combination of the lower BIL (550kV) and large area size results in a failure rate of more than 1 in 10,000 years. However, a BIL of 550kV is not common in Western Power substations. Using the more common BIL of 650kV with other values remaining the same, the failure rate decreases to an acceptable 1 in 16,313 years. Should work be required on a brownfield 132kV substation with a BIL of 550kV, a site-specific lightning risk calculation should be performed.

For 66kV substations, a large substation area can result in a failure rate of more than 1 in 10,000 years. The maximum substation area required to achieve an acceptable failure rate using the above parameters is approximately 6000m². If performing works on a 66kV brownfield substation of larger area than this, a site-specific lightning risk calculation should be performed. If a site-specific risk calculation is performed, actual site values should be used for the above parameters. If the risk calculation still results in an unacceptable failure rate, a smaller rolling sphere size shall be considered.

A rolling sphere size of 24m is preferred for brownfield installations for site consistency and future lightning studies. However, if this sphere size requires additional lightning protection that is not practical or feasible, other sphere sizes can be considered as long as the failure rate does not exceed the tolerable risk value shown in Section 6.1.

6. Installation Requirements

6.1 Lightning Masts

The spacing of lightning masts inside the substation will be determined by:

- 1) A lightning design produced from the criteria outlined in Section 6;
- 2) Practical placement not to interfere with operations and equipment access; and
- 3) Required safety clearance from equipment (e.g. clearances from tilt-down mast swing)

Lightning masts can be used to mount floodlights for switchyard lighting. Where this is the case, tilt-down lightning masts shall be used. If no lights are required on a lightning mast, then

a fixed mast should be used. Lightning masts may also be used to mount outdoor GPOs where required.

To minimise the surge impedance of lightning masts, the following should be observed:

Use of multi-prong lightning interceptor spikes at the top of lightning masts. These should be installed on all fixed masts and tilt-down masts. In general, 600mm long spikes should be used as standard, unless the masts has floodlights installed for which then 1500mm long spikes should be used, to provide protection to the lights. Where masts are used for lighting purposes only and are sufficiently protected from lightning strikes by overhead earth wires, lightning spikes are not required.

All tilt-down masts shall have an earth braid installed across the mast hinge for earthing and lightning protection. Earthing of masts to the earth grid shall be in accordance Engineering Design Instruction - Earthing Design. Refer to Substation Design Drawing Register for the full list of standard lightning mast drawings.

6.2 Overhead earth wires

Where overhead earth wires are used to provide lightning protection within a substation, a conductor with a minimum strand size of 3mm diameter should be used to ensure ability of the conductor to withstand a lightning strike.

To minimise surge impedance, overhead earth wires should be bonded to the steelwork at the top of the gantry or pole they are connected to. The gantry or pole should then be earthed to the earth grid in accordance with Engineering Design Instruction - Earthing Design.

Should overhead earth wires terminate on wood or concrete poles, the earth grid risers should extend up the pole to connect directly to the overhead earth wire.